

LABORATORY QUALITY SYSTEMS ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

Select one or more sections under a system periodically and evaluate components or processes for compliance.

- Write "Y" for Yes or "N" for No by an item to indicate the outcome of the assessed item.
- Write "N/A" if item is not applicable at the time of evaluation.
- In the "Comments" area, explain how the assessment was done. Were charts reviewed, requisitions examined, for what period of time? List all significant findings.
- Summarize overall findings in the "Discussion" area on the last page. Were the findings satisfactory or unsatisfactory?

GENERAL LABORATORY

PATIENT CONFIDENTIALITY:

_____ Patient information was kept confidential throughout all phases of testing under the laboratory's control.
_____ Does the laboratory staff view the contents of the patient's records at any point?

Comments:

PATIENT IDENTIFICATION & SPECIMEN INTEGRITY:

_____ Were specimens collected by non-laboratory personnel labeled legibly and correctly?
_____ Was proper paperwork submitted for the specimens received?
_____ Were specimen rejection policies followed?
_____ Were submitters notified when discrepancies were found?
_____ Did the lab maintain optimum integrity of each specimen through completion of testing?

Comments:

COMPLAINT INVESTIGATIONS:

_____ Have complaints been documented (on the Problem Log) and investigated according to policy?
_____ If a complaint was investigated, was the problem and resolution documented?
_____ Was the resolution followed up to ensure corrective action was appropriate?
_____ Were policy and/or procedure revisions necessary to prevent reoccurrence of the complaint?

Comments:

COMMUNICATIONS:

Internal:

_____ Did the lab manager share information received from administration with other lab personnel?
_____ Did the lab manager share information received from the Technical Consultant with other lab personnel?

External:

_____ Were emails and/or voicemail from the Technical Consultant responded to in an appropriate amount of time or by the deadline?
_____ Was the Technical Consultant contacted immediately when there was an unresolved instrument or QC failure?
_____ Were changes in lab testing or paperwork relayed appropriately to clinic personnel?

Comments:

**County Health Department Name
Address**

PERSONNEL COMPETENCY ASSESSMENT:

- Has orientation and training been documented for all testing personnel?
- Has proof of minimum education been provided to the lab manager for all testing personnel?
- Has proof of education been forwarded to the Technical Consultant for new testing personnel?
- Has the Lab Director reviewed and signed off on the assigned duties for testing personnel performing non-waived tests?
- Has the Technical Consultant reviewed and signed off on the assigned duties for testing personnel performing only waived tests?
- Have all testers performed QC on all approved tests at least once per quarter?
- Did all testing personnel complete required annual continuing education in the previous calendar year?
- Were all appropriate competency assessment sets performed by qualifying personnel?
- Were competency assessment results reviewed with appropriate personnel?
- Were competency assessment failures investigated by the Technical Consultant and follow up shared with the lab manager?
- Was competency assessed for personnel performing blood collections?

Comments:

PROFICIENCY TESTING:

Only for laboratories that are performing at least one module of proficiency testing.

- Was proficiency testing rotated among testing personnel, if applicable?
- Were proficiency samples processed in a manner similar to patient samples?
- Was the Proficiency Testing (PT) Performance form completed for each PT event?
- Were copies of all submitted proficiency results retained?
- Were incorrect results (graded and ungraded) investigated and corrective action taken?

Comments:

SAFETY:

- Was the Technical Consultant notified of any situation that could affect the lab's performance or the safety of employees?
- Has the Safety Manual been updated in the last 5 years?
- Have lab personnel received annual safety training?
- Have lab personnel documented annual review of safety manuals?
- Has a sharps evaluation been done this calendar year? The previous calendar year?

Comments:

PREANALYTIC SYSTEMS

TEST REQUISITION:

- Did the lab have electronic requests for all tests performed?
- Did test requisitions contain all necessary information as stated in the lab's policy?
- Was "received time" documented for all laboratory specimens tested?
- Is there a "back-up" system in place for receiving test requests when an electronic system is unavailable?

Comments:

**County Health Department Name
Address**

POLICY MANUAL:

- Have lab personnel documented annual review of policies?
- Are policies current?
- Have normal and panic values been reviewed and approved by the Clinical Consultant this calendar year?
- Is there a policy describing how to enter results in an electronic health record?

Comments:

ANALYTIC SYSTEMS

PROCEDURE MANUAL:

- Are lab procedures current and complete?
- Are all procedures saved electronically?
- Are current package inserts in place with the corresponding procedure?
- Have lab personnel documented annual review of procedures?
- Has the Technical Consultant documented annual review of procedures?
- Are discontinued procedures dated and kept for a two-year minimum?

Comments:

QUALITY CONTROL:

- Were environmental controls (temperature, humidity, etc.) recorded and within acceptable limits prior to testing?
- Were only in-date reagents, controls, kits, media, etc., used?
- Were new lots of QC reagents (hemoglobin, glucose, urinalysis, hgb A1c) verified before the current lot expired? Before being put into use?
- Was new lot verification documented at the time of testing on the appropriate form?
- Was procedural QC performed, documented, and within acceptable limits before patient test results were reported?
- Was QC performed at the required frequency (per CLIA Contract description)?
- Were appropriate Levy-Jennings charts plotted each day of testing and evaluated for trends or shifts?
- Were QC failures (i.e., out-of-range results) documented, along with corrective action?
- Was performance of QC rotated among testing personnel?

Comments:

MAINTENANCE & FUNCTION CHECKS:

- Was scheduled instrument/equipment maintenance properly performed and documented?

Comments:

COMPARISON OF TEST RESULTS:

- Were instrument comparisons, when applicable, conducted twice a year?
- Was parallel testing documented twice each year by all testing personnel performing wet mounts?

Comments:

**County Health Department Name
Address**

TEST RECORDS:

Were records of testing, including worksheets and instrument printouts, retained and complete?
 Was the identity of testing personnel documented for each intermediate step in testing?

Comments:

POSTANALYTIC SYSTEMS

TEST REPORT: (This section should be applied to electronic health records.)

Were test results present?
 Is the tester readily identified in an electronic report?
 Are reference values on the test report or readily accessible?
 Were panic values reported and documented according to lab policy?
 Were corrected/amended reports issued according to lab policy?

Comments:

DATA STORAGE & RETRIEVAL:

Were exact copies of in-house test reports maintained and accessible? Are copies of lab results accessible and retained for a minimum of two years?
 Was lab documentation (i.e., QC records, worksheets, package inserts, and instrument printouts) retained for a minimum of two years?

Comments:

DISCUSSION: Describe the outcome of the assessment. Were all areas evaluated satisfactory? If not, explain why and describe the corrective action plan. Will a QA Study be initiated as a result of this assessment?

COMPLETED BY: _____ DATE: _____

LAB MANAGER REVIEW: _____ DATE: _____

TECHNICAL CONSULTANT REVIEW: _____ DATE: _____